SCCID

SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE

FY 17-18 Human Resources and FY 18-19 County Funding Survey



As of July 1, 2018

1. Circuit Summaries
2. Public Defender Status as of June 30, 2018, 2017, and 2016.
3. Contract PD Amount as of July 1, 2018
4. Administrative Assistant Status, Investigator Status, and Other Employee Status as of July 1, 2018.
5. Salary for Public Defenders as of July 1, 2018.
6. Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD.
7. Specific Attorney Assigned to Magistrate, Municipal or Combined Courts.
8. County Government Funding FY 18-19.
9. Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court FY 18-19
10. State, County and Municipal Appropriated Funding by County/Circuit FY 18-19.
11. Audit of Funds and PD Receipt or Collection of Funds Including Cash or Checks.
12. Public Defender and Contract Public Defender Experience as of July 1, 2018.
13. Local Training and Mentoring Programs as of July 1, 2018.
14. Grant Amounts and Descriptions as of July 1, 2018.
15. Indigency Screening and Determination.
16. Handling of Juvenile Cases as of July 1, 2018.

SCCID

SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE

FY 17-18 Human Resources and FY 18-19 County Funding Survey



As of July 1, 2018

1. Circuit Summaries
2. Public Defender Status as of June 30, 2018, 2017, and 2016.
3. Contract PD Amount as of July 1, 2018
4. Administrative Assistant Status, Investigator Status, and Other Employee Status as of July 1, 2018.
5. Salary for Public Defenders as of July 1, 2018.
6. Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD.
7. Specific Attorney Assigned to Magistrate, Municipal or Combined Courts.
8. County Government Funding FY 18-19.
9. Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court FY 18-19
10. State, County and Municipal Appropriated Funding by County/Circuit FY 18-19.
11. Audit of Funds and PD Receipt or Collection of Funds Including Cash or Checks.
12. Public Defender and Contract Public Defender Experience as of July 1, 2018.
13. Local Training and Mentoring Programs as of July 1, 2018.
14. Grant Amounts and Descriptions as of July 1, 2018.
15. Indigency Screening and Determination.
16. Handling of Juvenile Cases as of July 1, 2018.

1st Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Calhoun	Public Defender	0	0	0	2
Dorchester	Public Defender	6	1	0	2
Orangeburg	Public Defender	5	2	0	1
Total Public Defenders		**11**	**3**	**0**	**5**
Calhoun	AA's/Sec	0	1	0	0
Dorchester	AA's/Sec	3	0	0	1
Orangeburg	AA's/Sec	3	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**6**	**1**	**0**	**1**
Calhoun	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	Investigators	1	1	0	0
Orangeburg	Investigators	1	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Calhoun	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Orangeburg	Other Employees	1	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	1	1	3	1	1
Orangeburg	2	2	2	1	0
Total Salary Ranges	**3**	**3**	**5**	**2**	**1**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Calhoun		$32,000.00	$32,000.00	$36,000.00	$36,000.00
Dorchester		$643,525.00	$642,853.50	$637,525.00	$746,000.00
Orangeburg		$610,392.00	$620,142.50	$620,000.00	$610,392.00
Municipal Funding					
Calhoun		$0.00	$0.00	$3,000.00	$0.00
Dorchester		$24,000.00	$29,050.00	$39,000.00	$36,000.00
Orangeburg		$0.00	$24,000.00	$26,000.00	$26,000.00
Other Funding*					
Calhoun		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Dorchester		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Orangeburg		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$1,309,917.00**	**$1,348,046.00**	**$1,361,525.00**	**$1,454,392.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

2nd Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Aiken	Public Defender	8	0	0	0
Bamberg	Public Defender	1	0	0	0
Barnwell	Public Defender	1	0	0	0
Total Public Defenders		**10**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Aiken	AA's/Sec	4	0	0	0
Bamberg	AA's/Sec	0	0	0	0
Barnwell	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Aiken	Investigators	2	0	0	0
Bamberg	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Barnwell	Investigators	1	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Aiken	Other Employees	3	0	0	0
Bamberg	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Barnwell	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Aiken	0	6	1	1	0
Bamberg	0	0	1	0	0
Barnwell	0	0	0	1	0
Total Salary Ranges	**0**	**6**	**2**	**2**	**0**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Aiken		$449,701.00	$773,224.00	$686,231.00	$356,664.00
Bamberg		$32,500.00	$32,500.00	$32,500.00	$35,000.00
Barnwell		$75,000.00	$50,000.00	$50,000.00	$69,500.00
Municipal Funding					
Aiken		$34,000.00	$69,200.00	$5,720.00	$14,520.00
Bamberg		$2,000.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Barnwell		$2,000.00	$0.00	$6,000.00	$0.00
Other Funding*					
Aiken		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Bamberg		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Barnwell		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$595,201.00**	**$924,924.00**	**$780,451.00**	**$475,684.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

3rd Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Clarendon	Public Defender	2	0	0	0
Lee	Public Defender	0	0	0	1
Sumter	Public Defender	5	1	0	1
Williamsburg	Public Defender	0	1	0	0
Total Public Defenders		**7**	**2**	**0**	**2**
Clarendon	AA's/Sec	0	1	0	0
Lee	AA's/Sec	0	0	0	0
Sumter	AA's/Sec	2	0	0	0
Williamsburg	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Clarendon	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Lee	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Sumter	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Williamsburg	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Clarendon	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Lee	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Sumter	Other Employees	4	0	0	0
Williamsburg	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Clarendon	0	1	0	0	1
Lee	0	0	0	0	0
Sumter	0	4	2	0	0
Williamsburg	0	1	0	0	0
Total Salary Ranges	**0**	**6**	**2**	**0**	**1**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Clarendon		$75,000.00	$75,000.00	$101,500.00	$122,000.00
Lee		$40,000.00	$40,000.00	$40,000.00	$40,000.00
Sumter		$225,000.00	$225,000.00	$225,000.00	$225,000.00
Williamsburg		$31,853.00	$31,853.00	$35,756.00	$45,256.00
Municipal Funding					
Clarendon		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Lee		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Sumter		$0.00	$27,500.00	$22,750.00	$27,500.00
Williamsburg		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Other Funding*					
Clarendon		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Lee		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Sumter		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Williamsburg		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$371,853.00**	**$399,353.00**	**$425,006.00**	**$459,756.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

4th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Chesterfield	Public Defender	2	1	0	0
Darlington	Public Defender	2	0	0	0
Dillon	Public Defender	1	0	0	0
Marlboro	Public Defender	1	0	0	0
Total Public Defenders		**6**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Chesterfield	AA's/Sec	3	0	0	0
Darlington	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Dillon	AA's/Sec	2	0	0	0
Marlboro	AA's/Sec	0	1	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**6**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Chesterfield	Investigators	0	0	0	1
Darlington	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Dillon	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Marlboro	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Chesterfield	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Darlington	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Dillon	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Marlboro	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Chesterfield	1	1	1	0	0
Darlington	0	1	1	0	0
Dillon	0	0	0	1	0
Marlboro	0	0	0	0	1
Total Salary Ranges	**1**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**1**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Chesterfield		$189,050.00	$118,527.00	$118,527.00	$136,500.00
Darlington		$145,000.00	$210,000.00	$140,000.00	$145,000.00
Dillon		$54,000.00	$81,000.00	$54,000.00	$54,000.00
Marlboro		$56,290.00	$65,362.50	$52,290.00	$56,290.00
Municipal Funding					
Chesterfield		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Darlington		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Dillon		$0.00	$1,000.00	$1,000.00	$1,000.00
Marlboro		$0.00	$0.00	$6,000.00	$12,000.00
Other Funding*					
Chesterfield		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Darlington		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Dillon		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Marlboro		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$444,340.00**	**$475,889.50**	**$371,817.00**	**$404,790.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

5th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Kershaw	Public Defender	4	0	0	0
Richland	Public Defender	32	0	0	0
Total Public Defenders		**36**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Kershaw	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Richland	AA's/Sec	11	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**12**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Kershaw	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Richland	Investigators	1	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Kershaw	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Richland	Other Employees	1	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Kershaw	2	0	2	0	0
Richland	4	17	9	2	0
Total Salary Ranges	**6**	**17**	**11**	**2**	**0**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Kershaw		$150,000.00	$200,000.00	$200,000.00	$200,000.00
Richland		$1,567,650.00	$1,567,650.00	$2,000,448.00	$2,400,448.00
Municipal Funding					
Kershaw		$0.00	$5,000.00	$5,000.00	$5,000.00
Richland		$100,000.00	$100,000.00	$100,000.00	$100,000.00
Other Funding*					
Kershaw		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Richland		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$1,817,650.00**	**$1,872,650.00**	**$2,305,448.00**	**$2,705,448.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

6th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Chester	Public Defender	2	0	0	0
Fairfield	Public Defender	2	0	0	1
Lancaster	Public Defender	5	0	0	0
Total Public Defenders		**9**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Chester	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Fairfield	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Lancaster	AA's/Sec	3	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Chester	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Fairfield	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Lancaster	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Chester	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Fairfield	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Lancaster	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Chester	0	2	0	0	0
Fairfield	0	0	1	1	0
Lancaster	0	2	3	0	0
Total Salary Ranges	**0**	**4**	**4**	**1**	**0**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Chester		$100,980.00	$105,980.00	$105,980.00	$110,828.00
Fairfield		$64,000.00	$64,000.00	$95,204.00	$95,204.00
Lancaster		$270,000.00	$279,885.00	$300,827.00	$314,815.00
Municipal Funding					
Chester		$0.00	$4,800.00	$9,600.00	$9,600.00
Fairfield		$0.00	$3,600.00	$3,600.00	$6,000.00
Lancaster		$0.00	$7,500.00	$7,500.00	$6,000.00
Other Funding*					
Chester		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Fairfield		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Lancaster		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$434,980.00**	**$465,765.00**	**$522,711.00**	**$542,447.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

7th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Cherokee	Public Defender	3	0	0	1
Spartanburg	Public Defender	14	6	0	1
Total Public Defenders		**17**	**6**	**0**	**2**
Cherokee	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Spartanburg	AA's/Sec	5	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Cherokee	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Spartanburg	Investigators	3	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Cherokee	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Spartanburg	Other Employees	3	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Cherokee	0	1	1	0	1
Spartanburg	3	8	9	0	0
Total Salary Ranges	**3**	**9**	**10**	**0**	**1**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Cherokee		$140,000.00	$140,000.00	$140,000.00	$140,000.00
Spartanburg		$1,116,169.00	$998,035.00	$998,035.00	$1,116,169.00
Municipal Funding					
Cherokee		$0.00	$6,000.00	$9,000.00	$12,000.00
Spartanburg		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Other Funding*					
Cherokee		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Spartanburg		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$1,256,169.00**	**$1,144,035.00**	**$1,147,035.00**	**$1,268,169.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

8th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Abbeville	Public Defender	0	0	0	0
Greenwood	Public Defender	4	0	0	2
Laurens	Public Defender	4	0	0	0
Newberry	Public Defender	0	0	0	1
Total Public Defenders		**8**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Abbeville	AA's/Sec	0	0	0	0
Greenwood	AA's/Sec	2	0	0	0
Laurens	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Newberry	AA's/Sec	0	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Abbeville	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Greenwood	Investigators	2	0	0	0
Laurens	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Newberry	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Abbeville	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Greenwood	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Laurens	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Newberry	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Abbeville	0	0	0	0	0
Greenwood	0	2	2	0	0
Laurens	0	3	1	0	0
Newberry	0	0	0	0	0
Total Salary Ranges	**0**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**0**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Abbeville		$26,790.00	$26,790.00	$26,790.00	$26,790.00
Greenwood		$149,000.00	$126,500.00	$126,500.00	$149,000.00
Laurens		$86,000.00	$62,000.00	$62,000.00	$86,000.00
Newberry		$70,475.00	$87,192.00	$90,141.00	$70,475.00
Municipal Funding					
Abbeville		$0.00	$2,000.00	$4,250.00	$0.00
Greenwood		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Laurens		$0.00	$4,500.00	$4,500.00	$0.00
Newberry		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Other Funding*					
Abbeville		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Greenwood		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Laurens		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Newberry		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$332,265.00**	**$308,982.00**	**$314,181.00**	**$332,265.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

9th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Berkeley	Public Defender	8	0	0	1
Charleston	Public Defender	28	2	0	0
Total Public Defenders		**36**	**2**	**0**	**1**
Berkeley	AA's/Sec	3	1	0	0
Charleston	AA's/Sec	12	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**15**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Berkeley	Investigators	2	0	0	0
Charleston	Investigators	5	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Berkeley	Other Employees	1	0	0	0
Charleston	Other Employees	8	2	0	0
Total Other Employees		**9**	**2**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Berkeley	0	1	5	1	1
Charleston	2	3	16	6	3
Total Salary Ranges	**2**	**4**	**21**	**7**	**4**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Berkeley		$413,139.00	$475,608.96	$504,007.08	$504,007.00
Charleston		$3,097,292.00	$3,130,000.00	$3,220,466.00	$3,379,200.00
Municipal Funding					
Berkeley		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Charleston		$50,000.00	$50,000.00	$0.00	$0.00
Other Funding*					
Berkeley		$87,257.12	$44,920.94	$73,067.28	$0.00
Charleston		$280,899.56	$422,097.56	$369,650.23	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$3,928,587.68**	**$4,122,627.46**	**$4,167,190.59**	**$3,883,207.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

10th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Anderson	Public Defender	2	0	0	8
Oconee	Public Defender	4	0	0	1
Total Public Defenders		**6**	**0**	**0**	**9**
Anderson	AA's/Sec	3	0	0	0
Oconee	AA's/Sec	3	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Anderson	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Oconee	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Anderson	Other Employees	3	1	0	0
Oconee	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Anderson	0	4	2	0	0
Oconee	0	1	2	1	0
Total Salary Ranges	**0**	**5**	**4**	**1**	**0**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Anderson		$338,775.00	$338,755.00	$338,775.00	$338,775.00
Oconee		$200,000.00	$200,000.00	$200,000.00	$200,000.00
Municipal Funding					
Anderson		$0.00	$49,678.00	$49,678.00	$49,678.00
Oconee		$0.00	$5,338.00	$5,663.00	$5,338.00
Other Funding*					
Anderson		$0.00	$0.00	$97.27	$0.00
Oconee		$0.00	$459.37	$630.93	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$538,775.00**	**$593,771.00**	**$594,116.00**	**$593,791.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

11th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Edgefield	Public Defender	1	0	0	0
Lexington	Public Defender	13	0	0	0
McCormick	Public Defender	0	0	0	0
Saluda	Public Defender	1	0	0	0
Total Public Defenders		**15**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Edgefield	AA's/Sec	0	0	0	0
Lexington	AA's/Sec	6	0	0	0
McCormick	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Saluda	AA's/Sec	0	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Edgefield	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Lexington	Investigators	0	0	0	0
McCormick	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Saluda	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Edgefield	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Lexington	Other Employees	1	0	0	0
McCormick	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Saluda	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Edgefield	0	1	0	0	0
Lexington	0	9	3	0	1
McCormick	0	0	0	0	0
Saluda	0	0	1	0	0
Total Salary Ranges	**0**	**10**	**4**	**0**	**1**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Edgefield		$27,400.00	$25,000.00	$25,000.00	$30,400.00
Lexington		$543,932.00	$543,532.00	$543,932.00	$543,932.00
McCormick		$23,400.00	$21,000.00	$21,000.00	$23,400.00
Saluda		$22,400.00	$20,000.00	$20,000.00	$17,400.00
Municipal Funding					
Edgefield		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Lexington		$0.00	$400.00	$0.00	$0.00
McCormick		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Saluda		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Other Funding*					
Edgefield		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Lexington		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
McCormick		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Saluda		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$617,132.00**	**$609,932.00**	**$609,932.00**	**$615,132.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

14th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Allendale	Public Defender	1	0	0	0
Beaufort	Public Defender	10	0	0	0
Colleton	Public Defender	3	0	0	0
Hampton	Public Defender	1	0	0	0
Jasper	Public Defender	1	0	0	0
Total Public Defenders		**16**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Allendale	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Beaufort	AA's/Sec	2	0	0	0
Colleton	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Hampton	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Jasper	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Allendale	Investigators	1	0	0	0
Beaufort	Investigators	2	0	0	0
Colleton	Investigators	1	0	0	0
Hampton	Investigators	1	0	0	0
Jasper	Investigators	0	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Allendale	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Beaufort	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Colleton	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Hampton	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Jasper	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Allendale	0	0	1	0	0
Beaufort	0	1	7	2	0
Colleton	0	0	2	1	0
Hampton	0	0	1	0	0
Jasper	0	0	0	1	0
Total Salary Ranges	**0**	**1**	**11**	**4**	**0**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Allendale		$20,000.00	$20,000.00	$20,000.00	$20,000.00
Beaufort		$819,293.00	$699,293.00	$969,809.00	$696,393.00
Colleton		$234,901.00	$235,000.00	$293,626.00	$239,901.00
Hampton		$44,000.00	$47,500.00	$47,500.00	$47,500.00
Jasper		$124,000.00	$119,000.00	$119,000.00	$124,000.00
Municipal Funding					
Allendale		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Beaufort		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Colleton		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Hampton		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Jasper		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Other Funding*					
Allendale		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Beaufort		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Colleton		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Hampton		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Jasper		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$1,242,194.00**	**$1,120,793.00**	**$1,449,935.00**	**$1,127,794.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

15th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Georgetown	Public Defender	2	0	0	2
Horry	Public Defender	12	0	0	1
Total Public Defenders		**14**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Georgetown	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
Horry	AA's/Sec	10	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**11**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Georgetown	Investigators	0	1	0	0
Horry	Investigators	2	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**
Georgetown	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Horry	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Georgetown	0	0	1	1	0
Horry	0	0	10	2	0
Total Salary Ranges	**0**	**0**	**11**	**3**	**0**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Georgetown		$126,100.00	$126,100.00	$126,000.00	$156,000.00
Horry		$989,354.00	$1,092,214.00	$1,157,077.45	$1,172,214.00
Municipal Funding					
Georgetown		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Horry		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Other Funding*					
Georgetown		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Horry		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$1,115,454.00**	**$1,218,314.00**	**$1,283,077.45**	**$1,328,214.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

16th Circuit Summary

County	Position	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC
Union	Public Defender	2	0	0	0
York	Public Defender	15	0	0	0
Total Public Defenders		**17**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Union	AA's/Sec	1	0	0	0
York	AA's/Sec	6	0	0	0
Total AA's/Sec		**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Union	Investigators	1	0	0	0
York	Investigators	3	0	0	0
Total Investigators		**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Union	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
York	Other Employees	0	0	0	0
Total Other Employees		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Salary Ranges	**Under $40,000**	**$40,000-$59,999**	**$60,000-$79,999**	**$80,000-$100,000**	**Over $100,000**
Union	0	0	1	1	0
York	0	4	4	6	1
Total Salary Ranges	**0**	**4**	**5**	**7**	**1**
County Funding		**FY 15-16**	**FY 16-17**	**FY 17-18**	**FY 18-19**
Union		$100,822.00	$110,410.00	$114,115.00	$100,822.00
York		$1,369,721.00	$1,353,464.98	$1,330,495.32	$1,369,721.00
Municipal Funding					
Union		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
York		$15,000.00	$76,353.00	$76,353.00	$125,626.00
Other Funding*					
Union		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
York		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
Total Circuit Funding		**$1,485,543.00**	**$1,540,227.98**	**$1,520,963.32**	**$1,596,169.00**

*Other Funding does not include in kind contributions or grants. See section 10 for in kind contributions and section 14 for grants.

Public Defender Status as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC	Grand Total Jun-18	Grand Total Jun-17	Grand Total Jun-16
1	Calhoun	0	0	0	2	1	1	0.5
1	Dorchester	6	1	0	2	7.5	7.5	8.5
1	Orangeburg	5	2	0	1	6.5	6.5	7.5
Total for 1st Circuit		**11**	**3**	**0**	**5**	**15**	**15**	**16.5**
2	Aiken	8	0	0	0	8	7	8
2	Bamberg	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
2	Barnwell	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Total for 2nd Circuit		**10**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**10**	**9**	**10**
3	Clarendon	2	0	0	0	2	1	1
3	Lee	0	0	0	1	0.5	0	1
3	Sumter	5	1	0	1	6	7	7
3	Williamsburg	0	1	0	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
Total for 3rd Circuit		**7**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**9**	**8.5**	**9.5**
4	Chesterfield	2	1	0	0	2.5	3	2
4	Darlington	2	0	0	0	2	3	3
4	Dillon	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
4	Marlboro	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Total for 4th Circuit		**6**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**6.5**	**8**	**7**
5	Kershaw	4	0	0	0	4	4	3
5	Richland	32	0	0	0	32	34	30
Total for 5th Circuit		**36**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**36**	**38**	**33**
6	Chester	2	0	0	0	2	2	2.5
6	Fairfield	2	0	0	1	2.5	2.5	2
6	Lancaster	5	0	0	0	5	5	5
Total for 6th Circuit		**9**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**9.5**	**9.5**	**9.5**
7	Cherokee	3	0	0	1	3.5	3.5	2.5
7	Spartanburg	14	6	0	1	17.5	17	14
Total for 7th Circuit		**17**	**6**	**0**	**2**	**21**	**20.5**	**16.5**
8	Abbeville	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
8	Greenwood	4	0	0	2	5	4	3.5
8	Laurens	4	0	0	0	4	4	3.5
8	Newberry	0	0	0	1	0.5	0.5	0.5
Total for 8th Circuit		**8**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**9.5**	**9.5**	**8.5**

Circuit	County	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC	Grand Total Jun-18	Grand Total Jun-17	Grand Total Jun-16
9	Berkeley	8	0	0	1	8.5	8.5	6.5
9	Charleston	28	2	0	0	29	29	26
Total for 9th Circuit		**36**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**37.5**	**37.5**	**32.5**
10	Anderson	2	0	0	8	8	7.5	6
10	Oconee	4	0	0	1	4.5	3.5	3
Total for 10th Circuit		**6**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**12.5**	**11**	**9**
11	Edgefield	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
11	Lexington	13	0	0	0	13	12	10
11	McCormick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Saluda	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Total for 11th Circuit		**15**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**15**	**14**	**12**
12	Florence	7	3	0	0	8.5	7.5	7.5
12	Marion	1	0	0	1	1.5	0.5	0.5
Total for 12th Circuit		**8**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**10**	**8**	**8**
13	Greenville	15	0	0	6	18	18	15
13	Pickens	4	0	0	1	4.5	4	4
Total for 13th Circuit		**19**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**22.5**	**22**	**19**
14	Allendale	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
14	Beaufort	10	0	0	0	10	11	10
14	Colleton	3	0	0	0	3	3	2
14	Hampton	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
14	Jasper	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Total for 14th Circuit		**16**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**16**	**17**	**15**
15	Georgetown	2	0	0	2	3	3	2.5
15	Horry	12	0	0	1	12.5	11.5	11
Total for 15th Circuit		**14**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**15.5**	**14.5**	**13.5**
16	Union	2	0	0	0	2	2	2
16	York	15	0	0	0	15	15	15
Total for 16th Circuit		**17**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**17**	**17**	**17**
Grand Total		**235**	**17**	**0**	**34**	**262.5**	**259**	**236.5**

Contract PD Amount as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Under $39,999	$40,000-$59,999	$60,000-$79,999	$80,000-$100,000	Over $100,000
1	Calhoun	$ 15,000.00				
1	Calhoun	$ 21,000.00				
1	Dorchester	$ 30,000.00				
1	Dorchester	$ 36,000.00				
1	Orangeburg		$ 48,000.00			
Total for 1st Circuit		4	1	0	0	0
2	Aiken					
2	Bamberg					
2	Barnwell					
Total for 2nd Circuit		0	0	0	0	0
3	Clarendon					
3	Lee	$ 25,000.00				
3	Sumter		$ 40,000.00			
3	Williamsburg					
Total for 3rd Circuit		1	1	0	0	0
4	Chesterfield					
4	Darlington					
4	Dillon					
4	Marlboro					
Total for 4th Circuit		0	0	0	0	0
5	Kershaw					
5	Richland					
Total for 5th Circuit		0	0	0	0	0
6	Chester					
6	Fairfield	$ 12,000.00				
6	Lancaster					
Total for 6th Circuit		1	0	0	0	0
7	Cherokee	$ 24,000.00				
7	Spartanburg		$ 42,000.00			
Total for 7th Circuit		1	1	0	0	0
8	Abbeville					
8	Greenwood	$ 18,000.00				
8	Greenwood	$ 24,000.00				
8	Laurens					
8	Newberry				$ 100,000.00	
Total for 8th Circuit		2	0	0	1	0
9	Berkeley	$ 26,000.00				
9	Charleston					
Total for 9th Circuit		1	0	0	0	0

10	Anderson	$ 30,000.00				
10	Anderson	$ 30,000.00				
10	Anderson	$ 30,000.00				
10	Anderson	$ 35,000.00				
10	Oconee	$ 21,500.00				
Total for 10th Circuit		5	0	0	0	0
11	Edgefield					
11	Lexington					
11	McCormick					
11	Saluda					
Total for 11th Circuit		0	0	0	0	0
12	Florence					
12	Marion		$ 47,395.00			
Total for 12th Circuit		0	1	0	0	0
13	Greenville	$ 30,000.00				
13	Greenville		$ 40,000.00			
13	Greenville		$ 40,000.00			
13	Greenville		$ 40,000.00			
13	Greenville		$ 40,000.00			
13	Greenville		$ 40,000.00			
13	Pickens		$ 40,000.00			
Total for 13th Circuit		1	6	0	0	0
14	Allendale					
14	Beaufort					
14	Colleton					
14	Hampton					
14	Jasper					
Total for 14th Circuit		0	0	0	0	0
15	Georgetown	$ 30,000.00				
15	Georgetown	$ 36,000.00				
15	Horry	$ 36,000.00				
Total for 15th Circuit		3	0	0	0	0
16	Union					
16	York					
Total for 16th Circuit		0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total		19	10	0	1	0

Administrative Assistant Status as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC	Grand Total FY 17-18	Grand Total FY 16-17	Grand Total FY 15-16
1	Calhoun	0	1	0	0	0.5	0	0
1	Dorchester	3	0	0	1	3.5	3.5	3
1	Orangeburg	3	0	0	0	3	3	3
Total for 1st Circuit		**6**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**7**	**6.5**	**6**
2	Alken	4	0	0	0	4	4	5
2	Bamberg	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
2	Barnwell	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Total for 2nd Circuit		**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**6**	**6**
3	Clarendon	0	1	0	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
3	Lee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Sumter	2	0	0	0	2	2	2
3	Williamsburg	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Total for 3rd Circuit		**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**3.5**	**3.5**	**2.5**
4	Chesterfield	3	0	0	0	3	2	2
4	Darlington	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
4	Dillon	2	0	0	0	2	2	2
4	Marlboro	0	1	0	0	0.5	0.5	1
Total for 4th Circuit		**6**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**6.5**	**5.5**	**6**
5	Kershaw	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
5	Richland	11	0	0	0	11	11	8
Total for 5th Circuit		**12**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**12**	**12**	**9**
6	Chester	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
6	Fairfield	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
6	Lancaster	3	0	0	0	3	2	2
Total for 6th Circuit		**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**3**	**3**
7	Cherokee	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
7	Spartanburg	5	0	0	0	5	5	3
Total for 7th Circuit		**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**6**	**4**
8	Abbeville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Greenwood	2	0	0	0	2	2	1
8	Laurens	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
8	Newberry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for 8th Circuit		**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**2**

Circuit	County	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC	Grand Total FY 17-18	Grand Total FY 16-17	Grand Total FY 15-16
9	Berkeley	3	1	0	0	3.5	3.5	2.5
9	Charleston	12	0	0	0	12	10	10
Total for 9th Circuit		**15**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**15.5**	**13.5**	**12.5**
10	Anderson	3	0	0	0	3	3.5	2.5
10	Oconee	3	0	0	0	3	3	3.5
Total for 10th Circuit		**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**6.5**	**6**
11	Edgefield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Lexington	6	0	0	0	6	6	6
11	McCormick	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
11	Saluda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for 11th Circuit		**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**7**	**7**
12	Florence	3	0	0	0	3	3	3
12	Marion	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Total for 12th Circuit		**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**4**	**4**
13	Greenville	8	0	0	0	8	8	5
13	Pickens	3	0	0	0	3	2	2
Total for 13th Circuit		**11**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**11**	**10**	**7**
14	Allendale	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
14	Beaufort	2	0	0	0	2	2	2
14	Colleton	1	0	0	0	1	2	2
14	Hampton	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
14	Jasper	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Total for 14th Circuit		**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**7**	**5**
15	Georgetown	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
15	Horry	10	0	0	0	10	10	8
Total for 15th Circuit		**11**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**11**	**11**	**9**
16	Union	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
16	York	6	0	0	0	6	6	6
Total for 16th Circuit		**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**7**	**7**
Grand Total		**113**	**4**	**0**	**1**	**118**	**111.5**	**96**

Investigator Status as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC	Grand Total FY 17-18	Grand Total FY 16-17	Grand Total FY 15-16
1	Calhoun	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
1	Dorchester	1	1	0	0	**1.5**	**1.5**	**0.5**
1	Orangeburg	1	0	0	0	**1**	**0.5**	**0.5**
Total for 1st Circuit		**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2.5**	**2**	**1**
2	Aiken	2	0	0	0	**2**	**2**	**2**
2	Bamberg	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
2	Barnwell	1	0	0	0	**1**	**1**	**0**
Total for 2nd Circuit		**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**2**
3	Clarendon	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
3	Lee	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
3	Sumter	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
3	Williamsburg	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
Total for 3rd Circuit		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
4	Chesterfield	0	0	0	1	**0.5**	**0.5**	**0**
4	Darlington	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
4	Dillon	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
4	Marlboro	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
Total for 4th Circuit		**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0.5**	**0.5**	**0**
5	Kershaw	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
5	Richland	1	0	0	0	**1**	**1**	**1**
Total for 5th Circuit		**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**
6	Chester	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
6	Fairfield	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
6	Lancaster	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
Total for 6th Circuit		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
7	Cherokee	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
7	Spartanburg	3	0	0	0	**3**	**3**	**3**
Total for 7th Circuit		**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**3**
8	Abbeville	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
8	Greenwood	2	0	0	0	**2**	**2**	**1**
8	Laurens	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
8	Newberry	0	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	**0**
Total for 8th Circuit		**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**1**

Circuit	County	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC	Grand Total FY 17-18	Grand Total FY 16-17	Grand Total FY 15-16
9	Berkeley	2	0	0	0	2	2	1
9	Charleston	5	0	0	0	5	5	5
Total for 9th Circuit		**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**7**	**6**
10	Anderson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Oconee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for 10th Circuit		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
11	Edgefield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Lexington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	McCormick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Saluda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for 11th Circuit		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
12	Florence	2	0	0	0	2	2	2
12	Marion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for 12th Circuit		**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**2**
13	Greenville	0	1	0	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
13	Pickens	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total for 13th Circuit		**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0.5**	**0.5**	**1.5**
14	Allendale	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
14	Beaufort	2	0	0	0	2	2	2
14	Colleton	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
14	Hampton	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
14	Jasper	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for 14th Circuit		**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**5**	**3**
15	Georgetown	0	1	0	0	0.5	0.5	0
15	Horry	2	0	0	0	2	2	1
Total for 15th Circuit		**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2.5**	**2.5**	**1**
16	Union	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
16	York	3	0	0	0	3	3	2.5
Total for 16th Circuit		**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**4**	**2.5**
Grand Total		**31**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**33**	**32.5**	**24**

Other Employee Status as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Title	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC	Grand Total
1	Calhoun		0	0	0	0	**0**
1	Dorchester		0	0	0	0	**0**
1	Orangeburg	Sentencing Specialist	1	0	0	0	**1**
Total for 1st Circuit			**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
2	Aiken	Office Administrator	1	0	0	0	**1**
2	Aiken	Legal Secretary	2	0	0	0	**2**
2	Bamberg		0	0	0	0	**0**
2	Barnwell		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 2nd Circuit			**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
3	Clarendon		0	0	0	0	**0**
3	Lee		0	0	0	0	**0**
3	Sumter	Circuit Wide EE's	3	0	0	0	**3**
3	Sumter	Circuit Office Manager	1	0	0	0	**1**
3	Williamsburg		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 3rd Circuit			**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**
4	Chesterfield		0	0	0	0	**0**
4	Darlington		0	0	0	0	**0**
4	Dillon		0	0	0	0	**0**
4	Marlboro		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 4th Circuit			**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
5	Kershaw		0	0	0	0	**0**
5	Richland	Runner	1	0	0	0	**1**
Total for 5th Circuit			**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
6	Chester		0	0	0	0	**0**
6	Fairfield		0	0	0	0	**0**
6	Lancaster		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 6th Circuit			**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
7	Cherokee		0	0	0	0	**0**
7	Spartanburg	Jail Interviewers	2	0	0	0	**2**
7	Spartanburg	Court Coordinator	1	0	0	0	**1**
Total for 7th Circuit			**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
8	Abbeville		0	0	0	0	**0**

Circuit	County	Title	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC	Grand Total
8	Greenwood		0	0	0	0	**0**
8	Laurens		0	0	0	0	**0**
8	Newberry		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 8th Circuit			**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
9	Berkeley	State Adm. Asst.	1	0	0	0	**1**
9	Charleston	CaseMngmt/DcketCoor	3	0	0	0	**3**
9	Charleston	Sentencing Specialist	1	0	0	0	**1**
9	Charleston	Administrators/Mngrs	2	0	0	0	**2**
Total for 9th Circuit			**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**
10	Anderson	Receptionist	1	0	0	0	**1**
10	Anderson	Docket Coordinator	1	0	0	0	**1**
10	Anderson	Office Manager	1	0	0	0	**1**
10	Anderson	Jail Intake Coordinator	0	1	0	0	**0.5**
10	Oconee		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 10th Circuit			**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**3.5**
11	Edgefield		0	0	0	0	**0**
11	Lexington	Jail Screener	1	0	0	0	**1**
11	McCormick		0	0	0	0	**0**
11	Saluda		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 11th Circuit			**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	1
12	Florence		0	0	0	0	**0**
12	Marion		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 12th Circuit			**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
13	Greenville	Office Manager	1	0	0	0	**1**
13	Greenville	Receptionist	1	0	0	0	**1**
13	Pickens		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 13th Circuit			**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**

Circuit	County	Title	FTE	PTE	FTC	PTC	Grand Total
14	Allendale		0	0	0	0	**0**
14	Beaufort		0	0	0	0	**0**
14	Colleton		0	0	0	0	**0**
14	Hampton		0	0	0	0	**0**
14	Jasper		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 14th Circuit			**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
15	Georgetown		0	0	0	0	**0**
15	Horry		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 15th Circuit			**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
16	Union		0	0	0	0	**0**
16	York		0	0	0	0	**0**
Total for 16th Circuit			**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Grand Total			**27**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**28.5**

Salary for Public Defenders as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Under $40,000	$40,000-$59,999	$60,000-$79,999	$80,000-$100,000	Over $100,000
1	Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0
1	Dorchester	1	1	3	1	1
1	Orangeburg	2	2	2	1	0
Total for 1st Circuit		**3**	**3**	**5**	**2**	**1**
2	Aiken	0	6	1	1	0
2	Bamberg	0	0	1	0	0
2	Barnwell	0	0	0	1	0
Total for 2nd Circuit		**0**	**6**	**2**	**2**	**0**
3	Clarendon	0	1	0	0	1
3	Lee	0	0	0	0	0
3	Sumter	0	4	2	0	0
3	Williamsburg	0	1	0	0	0
Total for 3rd Circuit		**0**	**6**	**2**	**0**	**1**
4	Chesterfield	1	1	1	0	0
4	Darlington	0	1	1	0	0
4	Dillon	0	0	0	1	0
4	Marlboro	0	0	0	0	1
Total for 4th Circuit		**1**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**1**
5	Kershaw	2	0	2	0	0
5	Richland	4	17	9	2	0
Total for 5th Circuit		**6**	**17**	**11**	**2**	**0**
6	Chester	0	2	0	0	0
6	Fairfield	0	0	1	1	0
6	Lancaster	0	2	3	0	0
Total for 6th Circuit		**0**	**4**	**4**	**1**	**0**
7	Cherokee	0	1	1	0	1
7	Spartanburg	3	8	9	0	0
Total for 7th Circuit		**3**	**9**	**10**	**0**	**1**
8	Abbeville	0	0	0	0	0
8	Greenwood	0	2	2	0	0
8	Laurens	0	3	1	0	0
8	Newberry	0	0	0	0	0
Total for 8th Circuit		**0**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**0**

Circuit	County	Under $40,000	$40,000-$59,999	$60,000-$79,999	$80,000-$100,000	Over $100,000
9	Berkeley	0	1	5	1	1
9	Charleston	2	3	16	6	3
Total for 9th Circuit		**2**	**4**	**21**	**7**	**4**
10	Anderson	0	4	2	0	0
10	Oconee	0	1	2	1	0
Total for 10th Circuit		**0**	**5**	**4**	**1**	**0**
11	Edgefield	0	1	0	0	0
11	Lexington	0	9	3	0	1
11	McCormick	0	0	0	0	0
11	Saluda	0	0	1	0	0
Total for 11th Circuit		**0**	**10**	**4**	**0**	**1**
12	Florence	2	3	2	3	0
12	Marion	0	1	0	0	0
Total for 12th Circuit		**2**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**0**
13	Greenville	0	7	8	0	1
13	Pickens	0	4	0	0	1
Total for 13th Circuit		**0**	**11**	**8**	**0**	**2**
14	Allendale	0	0	1	0	0
14	Beaufort	0	1	7	2	0
14	Colleton	0	0	2	1	0
14	Hampton	0	0	1	0	0
14	Jasper	0	0	0	1	0
Total for 14th Circuit		**0**	**1**	**11**	**4**	**0**
15	Georgetown	0	0	1	1	0
15	Horry	0	0	10	2	0
Total for 15th Circuit		**0**	**0**	**11**	**3**	**0**
16	Union	0	0	1	1	0
16	York	0	4	4	6	1
Total for 16th Circuit		**0**	**4**	**5**	**7**	**1**
Grand Total		**17**	**91**	**105**	**33**	**12**

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
1	Calhoun	Yes					1		N	Y	Earlier in better we can help client provide better information to the magistrate.
1	Dorchester	Yes			1	1		37,500.00	N	Y	Early representation benefits the client and we could provide better information to the magistrate.
1	Orangeburg	Yes					1		N	Y	This is obvious. an attorney at the earliest date can increse the protection of the client and provide the magistrate with more complete information about the client.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
2	Aiken	Yes		The aiken summary court conducts their own screening and review of indigence. If the judge approves them, they are occasionally referred to our office.			3		N	Y	We could deal with special circumstances upon arrest instead of dealing with them later.
2	Bamberg	Yes							N	Y	We could deal with special circumstances upon arrest instead of dealing with them later.
2	Barnwell	Yes							N	N	We could deal with special circumstances upon arrest instead of dealing with them later.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
3	Clarendon	Yes	Everything excluding traffic offenses					30,000.00	N	N	Overall bonds are given based upon the push to keep incarceration costs down... so only a select few cases would be impacted. maybe 10% of the cases when defendants are not automatically given a reasonable bond, which might mean 2%-5% of all pd cases.
		only specific types of cases									
3	Lee	Yes	In a centralized mag/munic. court- dui 1st, duac, dus 2nd (mandatory jail offenses only)	Offenses with no mandatory jail requirements are not represented because lee county does not provide adequate funding					N	N	Maybe- would vary by judge
		only specific types of cases									
3	Sumter	Yes							N	N	Not likely
3	Williamsburg	Yes	Dui 1st, duac and dus 2nd- mandatory jail offenses	Offenses with no mandatory jail requirements are no represented because williamsburg county does not currently provide adequate representation.					N	N	Reasonable bonds are normally given without representation
		only specific types of cases									

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
4	Chesterfield	Yes	Those as dictated by rule 602 scrc	Not requested to do so by either county or court					N	N	We actively review same at present to encourage said release
		only specific types of cases									
4	Darlington	Yes	Those as dictated by rule 602 scrc	Either few or no request by magistrate court to do so.					N	N	we actively review same at present to encourage said release
		only specific types of cases									
4	Dillon	Yes	Those as dictated by rule 602 scrc	Only when we are requested.	1	1			N	N	We actively review same at present to encourage said release
		only specific types of cases									
4	Marlboro	Yes	Those as dictated by rule 602 scrc	When we are requested to do so	1	1			N	N	We actively review same at present to encourage said release
		only specific types of cases									

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
5	Kershaw	Yes							N	Y	Additional information would enhance likelihood of pretrial release.
5	Richland	Yes			2	2			Y	Y	Advocacy results in lower bonds.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
6	Chester	Yes					1		N	N	
6	Fairfield	Yes					1		N	N	
6	Lancaster	Yes					1		N	N	

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
7	Cherokee	Yes			1	1			N	N	The summary court judges seem to have a set idea about what type of bonds to set and in what amount, regardless of whether the defendant is represented or not.
7	Spartanburg	Yes			2	1		137,218.00	N	N	The summary court judges seem to have a set idea about what types of bonds to set and in what amount, regardless of whether the defendant is represented or not.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
8	Abbeville	Yes			1	1			N	Y	We are currently too short-staffed to provide representation at initial bond hearings. however, we do frequently request bond hearings in general sessions court for our clients who request a bond setting or bond reduction, and those are heard at the next available term after we are appointed. representation at the initial bond hearing would likely increase pre-trial release in some cases, but we achieve what we believe would be similar results by having quick bond reduction hearings in general sessions court.
8	Greenwood	Yes			1	1			N	Y	We are currently too short-staffed to provide representation at initial bond hearings. however, we frequently request bond hearings in general sessions court for our client who request a bond setting or bond reduction, and those are heard at the next available term after we are appointed. representation at the initial bond hearing would likely increase pre-trial release in some case, but we achieve what we believe would be similar results by having bond hearings as soon as possible after our appointment in general sessions court.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
8	Laurens	Yes			2	2			N	Y	We are currently too short-staffed to provide representation at initial bond hearings. however, we frequently request bond hearings in general sessions court for our clients who request a bond setting or bond reduction, and those are heard at the next available term after we are appointed. representation at the initial bond hearing would likely increase pre-trial release in some cases, but we achieve what we believe would be similar results by having prompt hearings in general sessions court.
8	Newberry	Yes			1				N	Y	With only one attorney in newberry county, we are unable to provide representation at initial bond hearings. however, we frequently request bond hearings in general sessions court for our clients who need a bond set or bond reduced, and those are heard at the next available term after we are appointed. representation at the initial bond hearing would likely increase pre-trial release in some cases, but we achieve what we believe would be similar results by having prompt hearings in general sessions court.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
9	Berkeley	No		****clarification for question above**** the circuit public defender contracted with a local private attorney to provide representation in magistrate courts for indigents when the court appoints us.				26,000.00	N	Y	Studies and the charleston experience from the macarthur safety and justice grant show that represented parties get lower bond on average. charleston public defenders have had a higher rate of clients who the court have found eligible for release under the law without posting a surety.
9	Charleston	Yes			1				Y	Y	Yes, because studies show that represented parties get lower bond on average; the ninth circuit public defender applied for the macarthur grant and was awarded it. this grant is providing three attorneys to represent clients at bond court. our experience since march 2017, when we began to appear with indigent defendants, dramatically confirms that our representation results in more informed and often lower bond amounts. our lawyers gather and present information about residential and work history that gives the court reliable information to base their risk assessment on.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
10	Anderson	Yes	No traffic offenses		1	1			N	Y	Absolutely. Judges rarely consider client ability to pay or alternatives to pre-trial detention. Judges have limited information to fully consider the defendant's circumstances because there is no advocate for the client at this stage.
10	Oconee	Yes	No traffic offenses						N	N	Absolutely. Bonding judges are not presented with alternatives to detention nor do they consider indigency or the client's ability to pay. Clients are frequently detained on monetary bond because there is no advocate for them at this stage of the criminal process.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
11	Edgefield	Yes							N	Y	It would help with the setting of bond.
11	Lexington	Yes			1				N	Y	Yes, it would make bonds more reasonable.
11	McCormick	Yes							N	Y	
11	Saluda	Yes							N	Y	Yes, bonds would be more reasonable.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
12	Florence	Yes					1		N	Y	It has been my experience that the magistrates and municipal court judges will set more reasonable bonds if an attorney is present with the defendant and can speak to the appropriate factors in setting a bond of which the defendant may not be aware.
12	Marion	Yes			1				N	Y	It has been my experience that the magistrates and municipal court judges will set more reasonable bonds if an attorney is present with the defendant and can speak to the appropriate factors in setting a bond of which the defendant may not be aware.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
13	Greenville	Yes					1		N	Y	We represent defendants in general sessions bond court. we do not represent defendants at first appearance before the magistrate after arrest.
13	Pickens	Yes							N	Y	We represent defendants in general sessions bond court. we do not represent defendants at first appearance before the magistrate after arrest.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
14	Allendale	Yes							N	Y	It is my opinion that pre-trial release would increase if defendants were provided an attorney at bond hearings.
14	Beaufort	Yes							N	Y	It is my opinion that pre-trial release would increase if defendants were provided an attorney at bond hearings.
14	Colleton	Yes						0	N	Y	It is my opinion that pre-trial release would increase if defendants were provided an attorney at bond hearings.
14	Hampton	Yes						0	N	Y	Yes, but staffing and funding is not available. It is my opinion that pre-trial release would increase if defendants were provided an attorney at bond hearing.
14	Jasper	Yes		Cases that have accompanying gs charges are moved up to gs. we do not provide representation for lack of funding by municipalities, lack of staffing and we are not insured in municipal courts.				0	N	Y	It is my opinion that pre-trial release would increase if defendants were provided an attorney at bond hearings.

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
15	Georgetown	Only specific types of cases	Upon request of the municipal judge.	Josh edgeworth, contract attorney does family court and magistrate court in georgetown county.					N	Y	Maybe some clients would fare better if we were in magistrates court.
15	Horry	Yes	Upon request of the municipal judge						N	N	

Magistrate, Municipal, Bond Hearing, and Pre-Trial Release Representation Routinely Provided by PD

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court Y/N OSTC*	Specific Types of Cases	Reasons Representation Is Not Provided	Attorneys Assigned to Magistrate	Attorneys Assigned to Municipal	Attorneys Assigned to Both Magistrate and Municipal	Dedicated County Funding for Magistrate Court	Bond Hearing Y/N	Pre-Trial Release Increase with Bond Hearing Y/N	Explain
16	Union	Yes							N	N	
16	York	Yes				1	3		N	N	
	*OSTC = Only Specific Types of Cases										

Specific Attorney Assigned to Magistrate, Municipal, or Combined Courts

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court	Municipal Court	Combined Court
1	Calhoun	0	0	1
1	Dorchester	1	1	0
1	Orangeburg	0	0	1
Total for 1st Circuit		**1**	**1**	**2**
2	Aiken	0	0	3
2	Bamberg	0	0	0
2	Barnwell	0	0	0
Total for 2nd Circuit		**0**	**0**	**3**
3	Clarendon	0	0	0
3	Lee	0	0	0
3	Sumter	0	0	0
3	Williamsburg	0	0	0
Total for 3rd Circuit		**0**	**0**	**0**
4	Chesterfield	0	0	0
4	Darlington	0	0	0
4	Dillon	1	1	0
4	Marlboro	1	1	0
Total for 4th Circuit		**2**	**2**	**0**
5	Kershaw	0	0	0
5	Richland	2	2	0
Total for 5th Circuit		**2**	**2**	**0**
6	Chester	0	0	1
6	Fairfield	0	0	1
6	Lancaster	0	0	1
Total for 6th Circuit		**0**	**0**	**3**
7	Cherokee	1	1	0
7	Spartanburg	2	1	0
Total for 7th Circuit		**3**	**2**	**0**

Specific Attorney Assigned to Magistrate, Municipal, or Combined Courts

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court	Municipal Court	Combined Court
8	Abbeville	1	1	0
8	Greenwood	1	1	0
8	Laurens	2	2	0
8	Newberry	1	0	0
Total for 8th Circuit		**5**	**4**	**0**
9	Berkeley	0	0	0
9	Charleston	1	0	0
Total for 9th Circuit		**1**	**0**	**0**
10	Anderson	1	1	0
10	Oconee	0	0	0
Total for 10th Circuit		**1**	**1**	**0**
11	Edgefield	0	0	0
11	Lexington	1	0	0
11	McCormick	0	0	0
11	Saluda	0	0	0
Total for 11th Circuit		**1**	**0**	**0**
12	Florence	0	0	1
12	Marion	1	0	0
Total for 12th Circuit		**1**	**0**	**1**
13	Greenville	0	0	1
13	Pickens	0	0	0
Total for 13th Circuit		**0**	**0**	**1**
14	Allendale	0	0	0
14	Beaufort	0	0	0
14	Colleton	0	0	0
14	Hampton	0	0	0
14	Jasper	0	0	0
Total for 14th Circuit		**0**	**0**	**0**

Specific Attorney Assigned to Magistrate, Municipal, or Combined Courts

Circuit	County	Magistrate Court	Municipal Court	Combined Court
15	Georgetown	0	0	0
15	Horry	0	0	0
Total for 15th Circuit		**0**	**0**	**0**
16	Union	0	0	0
16	York	0	1	3
Total for 16th Circuit		**0**	**1**	**3**
Grand Total		**17**	**13**	**13**

County Government Funding FY 18-19

Circuit	County	Amount Appropriated
1	Calhoun	$36,000
1	Dorchester	$725,000
1	Orangeburg	$600,000
Total for 1st Circuit		**$1,361,000**
2	Aiken	$356,664
2	Bamberg	$35,000
2	Barnwell	$69,500
Total for 2nd Circuit		**$461,164**
3	Clarendon	$122,000
3	Lee	$40,000
3	Sumter	$225,000
3	Williamsburg	$45,256
Total for 3rd Circuit		**$432,256**
4	Chesterfield	$136,500
4	Darlington	$145,000
4	Dillon	$54,000
4	Marlboro	$56,290
Total for 4th Circuit		**$391,790**
5	Kershaw	$200,000
5	Richland	$2,400,448
Total for 5th Circuit		**$2,600,448**
6	Chester	$110,828
6	Fairfield	$95,204
6	Lancaster	$314,815
Total for 6th Circuit		**$520,847**
7	Cherokee	$140,000
7	Spartanburg	$1,116,169
Total for 7th Circuit		**$1,256,169**

Circuit	County	Amount Appropriated
8	Abbeville	$26,790
8	Greenwood	$149,000
8	Laurens	$86,000
8	Newberry	$70,475
Total for 8th Circuit		**$332,265**
9	Berkeley	$504,007
9	Charleston	$3,379,200
Total for 9th Circuit		**$3,883,207**
10	Anderson	$338,775
10	Oconee	$200,000
Total for 10th Circuit		**$538,775**
11	Edgefield	$30,400
11	Lexington	$543,932
11	McCormick	$23,400
11	Saluda	$17,400
Total for 11th Circuit		**$615,132**
12	Florence	$795,441
12	Marion	$65,296
Total for 12th Circuit		**$860,737**
13	Greenville	$732,825
13	Pickens	$284,069
Total for 13th Circuit		**$1,016,894**
14	Allendale	$20,000
14	Beaufort	$696,393
14	Colleton	$239,901
14	Hampton	$47,500
14	Jasper	$124,000
Total for 14th Circuit		**$1,127,794**

Circuit	County	Amount Appropriated
15	Georgetown	$156,000
15	Horry	$1,172,214
Total for 15th Circuit		**$1,328,214**
16	Union	$100,822
16	York	$1,369,721
Total for 16th Circuit		**$1,470,543**
Grand Total		**$18,197,235**

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
1	Calhoun	Cameron and St. Matthews	$300 per client				
	Calhoun Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$3,000**	**$0**
1	Dorchester	Harleyville, St. George and Ridgeville	$300 per client				
		Summerville	$3000 per month				$36,000
	Dorchester Total			**$24,000**	**$29,050**	**$39,000**	**$36,000**
1	Orangeburg	Orangeburg	$2000 per month				$24,000
		Holly Hill	$500 per quarter				$2,000
		Eutawville, Elloree, Santee, and North	$300 per client				
	Orangeburg Total			**$0**	**$24,000**	**$26,000**	**$26,000**
	Orangeburg Comments: Bowman contract has not been renewed but we are still in contact trying to get a new contract in place.						
1st Circuit Total				**$24,000**	**$53,050**	**$68,000**	**$62,000**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
2	Aiken	North Augusta City					$14,520
		Town of Jackson	$400 per case				
	Aiken Total			**$34,000**	**$69,200**	**$5,720**	**$14,520**
2	Bamberg						
	Bamberg Total			**$2,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
2	Barnwell	Bamberg, Williston, and Blackville	$400 per case				
	Barnwell Total			**$2,000**	**$0**	**$6,000**	**$0**
2nd Circuit Total				**$38,000**	**$69,200**	**$11,720**	**$14,520**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
3	Clarendon						
	Clarendon Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
	Clarendon Comments: The City of Manning has contracted with a local attorney for fy 18/19						
3	Lee						
	Lee Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
3	Sumter	The City of Sumter					$27,500
	Sumter Total			**$0**	**$27,500**	**$22,750**	**$27,500**
3	Williamsburg						
	Williamsburg Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
3rd Circuit Total				**$0**	**$27,500**	**$22,750**	**$27,500**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
4	Chesterfield						
	Chesterfield Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
4	Darlington						
	Darlington Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
4	Dillon	Town of Lakeview					$1,000
	Dillon Total			**$0**	**$1,000**	**$1,000**	**$1,000**
4	Marlboro	Bennettsville Municipal Court					$12,000
	Marlboro Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$6,000**	**$12,000**
	Marlboro Comments: Bennetsville contract has not been signed as of survey print date.						
4th Circuit Total				**$0**	**$1,000**	**$7,000**	**$13,000**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
5	Kershaw	Camden					$5,000
	Kershaw Total			**$0**	**$5,000**	**$5,000**	**$5,000**
	Kershaw Comments: In negotiations with Elgin.						
5	Richland	Columbia					$100,000
	Richland Total			**$100,000**	**$100,000**	**$100,000**	**$100,000**
	Richland Comments: Irmo provides representation through outside sources. In negotiations with Forest Acres.						
5th Circuit Total				**$100,000**	**$105,000**	**$105,000**	**$105,000**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
6	Chester	City of Chester					$4,800
		Town of Great Falls					$4,800
	Chester Total			**$0**	**$4,800**	**$9,600**	**$9,600**
	Chester Comments: In negotiations with the Town of Fort Lawn.						
6	Fairfield	Town of Winnsboro	Annual				$6,000
	Fairfield Total			**$0**	**$3,600**	**$3,600**	**$6,000**
6	Lancaster	City of Lancaster	Annual				$6,000
	Lancaster Total			**$0**	**$7,500**	**$7,500**	**$6,000**
6th Circuit Total				**$0**	**$15,900**	**$20,700**	**$21,600**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
7	Cherokee	Gaffney					$6,000
		Blacksburg					$6,000
	Cherokee Total			**$0**	**$6,000**	**$9,000**	**$12,000**
7	Spartanburg						
	Spartanburg Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
7th Circuit Total				**$0**	**$6,000**	**$9,000**	**$12,000**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
8	Abbeville	Ware Shoals, Abbeville, and Due West	$250 per case				
	Abbeville Total			**$0**	**$2,000**	**$4,250**	**$0**
8	Greenwood	Ninety-Six	$250 per case				
	Greenwood Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
8	Laurens	City of Clinton and City of Laurens	$250 per case				
	Laurens Total			**$0**	**$4,500**	**$4,500**	**$0**
8	Newberry						
	Newberry Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
8th Circuit Total				**$0**	**$6,500**	**$8,750**	**$0**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
9	Berkeley						
	Berkeley Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
	Berkeley Comments: Goose Creek, Moncks Corner, and Hanahan provied representation through outside sources.						
9	Charleston						
	Charleston Total			**$50,000**	**$50,000**	**$0**	**$0**
	Charleston Comments: The north charleston municipal court will not longer contribute $50,000 per year beginning 7-1-17, because they have decided to hire a private attorney to handle their n. charleston municipal court cases. Charleston, north charleston, folly beach and mt. pleasant now all fund their own appointed counsel.						
9th Circuit Total				**$50,000**	**$50,000**	**$0**	**$0**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
10	Anderson	Anderson City					$30,380
		W. Pelzer					$997
		Pendleton					$3,469
		Belton					$4,786
		Iva					$1,409
		Honea Path					$4,090
		Williamston					$4,547
	Anderson Total			**$0**	**$49,678**	**$49,678**	**$49,678**
10	Oconee	City of Seneca	Annual				$5,338
		Walhalla	$65 per case				
		Salem	$65 per case				
	Oconee Total			**$0**	**$5,338**	**$5,663**	**$5,338**
	Oconee Comments: The office has flat-rate, per-case contracts with the cities of walhalla and salem. the total revenue is less than $1000						
10th Circuit Total				**$0**	**$55,016**	**$55,341**	**$55,016**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
11	Edgefield						
	Edgefield Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
11	Lexington	Pelion	$400 per case				
	Lexington Total			**$0**	**$400**	**$0**	**$0**
	Lexington Comments: Lexington, Chapin, Irmo, City of Columbia, West Columbia, Cayce, and Batesburg-Leesville provide representation thorugh outside sources.						
11	McCormick						
	McCormick Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
11	Saluda						
	Saluda Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
11th Circuit Total				**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
12	Florence	Florence Municipal Court	$300 per Month				$3,600
	Florence Total			**$20,000**	**$3,600**	**$3,600**	**$3,600**
12	Marion						
	Marion Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
	Marion Comments: My understanding is that the city of marion has recently voted to provide representation through a private source in marion municipal court. i am not aware of the terms of their private contract.						
12th Circuit Total				**$20,000**	**$3,600**	**$3,600**	**$3,600**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
13	Greenville	Greer Municipal Court	$300 per case				
	Greenville Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$2,100**	**$0**
	Greenville Comments: Greenville ft. inn travelers rest simpsonville mauldin source and terms unknown						
13	Pickens	Central	Proviso 61.12 Collective				
		Clemson	Proviso 61.12 Collective				
		Clemson University	Proviso 61.12 Collective				
		Easley	Proviso 61.12 Collective				
		Liberty	Proviso 61.12 Collective				
		Pickens	Proviso 61.12 Collective				
		(all 6 municipal courts)	Proviso 61.12 Collective				$40,000
	Pickens Total			**$0**	**$40,000**	**$40,000**	**$40,000**
	Pickens Comments: The 6 municipal courts in pickens county have agreed pursuant to proviso 61.12 to collectively pay 40,000.00 per year, 65% of which occurs in this fiscal year.						
13th Circuit Total				**$0**	**$40,000**	**$42,100**	**$40,000**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
14	Allendale						
	Allendale Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
14	Beaufort						
	Beaufort Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
14	Colleton						
	Colleton Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
14	Hampton						
	Hampton Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
	Hampton Comments: Estill, Hampton, and Yemassee provide representation through outside sources.						
14	Jasper						
	Jasper Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
14th Circuit Total				**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
15	Georgetown						
	Georgetown Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
15	Horry						
	Horry Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
15th Circuit Total				**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

Dedicated Funding Provided by City Municipal Court

Circuit	County	Municipality	Source Type	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
16	Union						
	Union Total			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
16	York	Rock Hill					$76,000
		York					$22,824
		Clover					$19,707
		Fort Mill					$5,518
		Tega Cay					$1,577
	York Total			**$15,000**	**$76,353**	**$76,353**	**$125,626**
	York Comments: Rock Hill decided to fully fund an Assistant Public Defender for their municipal court. It is the understanding that the other municipalities would be responsible for the other municpal court attorney for the entire amount from FY 19-20 going forward.						
16th Circuit Total				**$15,000**	**$76,353**	**$76,353**	**$125,626**
Statewide Total				**$247,000**	**$509,119**	**$430,314**	**$479,862**

Amounts for Fiscal Year 18-19 are projected appropriations only.

State, County and Municipal Appropriated Funding by County/Circuit
Fiscal Year 2018-2019

Note: County Funding Includes
(1) Appropriated Funding
(2) County In Kind Contributions provided by the county as per data provided to SCCID by Circuit Public Defenders as of July 1, 2018.

Note: State Funding Includes
(1) Appropriated per capita funding
(2) Fees and Fines appropriated to counties by Statutes and provisos per capita
(3) Fees and Fines are estimated from prior year's civil and probation distribution.

Circuit	County	County Appropriated Funding	Municipal Govt. Contributions and Grants	State Appropriated Funds	Fees and Fines	Total State Funding	Total Funding*	County Population 2010 Census	Population vs. County Contribution	Population vs. State, County, Municipal, and Grant Contribution	County In Kind Contributions
1	Calhoun	$ 36,000.00	$ -	$ 48,079.89	$ 14,665.94	$ 62,745.83	$ 98,745.83	15,175	$ 2.37	$ 6.51	$ -
1	Dorchester	$ 746,000.00	$ 36,000.00	$ 432,655.66	$ 131,974.11	$ 564,629.77	$ 1,346,629.77	136,555	$ 5.73	$ 9.86	$ 21,000.00
1	Orangeburg	$ 610,392.00	$ 26,000.00	$ 293,076.64	$ 89,397.95	$ 382,474.59	$ 1,018,866.59	92,501	$ 6.88	$ 11.01	$ 10,392.00
Total for 1st Circuit		**$ 1,392,392.00**	**$ 62,000.00**	**$ 773,812.19**	**$ 236,038.00**	**$ 1,009,850.19**	**$ 2,464,242.19**	**244,231**	**$ 5.95**	**$ 10.09**	**$ 31,392.00**
2	Aiken	$ 356,664.00	$ 14,520.00	$ 507,251.57	$ 154,728.30	$ 661,979.87	$ 1,033,163.87	160,099	$ 2.32	$ 6.45	$ 84,255.00
2	Bamberg	$ 35,000.00	$ -	$ 50,652.60	$ 15,450.70	$ 66,103.30	$ 101,103.30	15,987	$ 2.19	$ 6.32	$ 2,500.00
2	Barnwell	$ 69,500.00	$ -	$ 71,671.51	$ 21,862.15	$ 93,533.67	$ 163,033.67	22,621	$ 3.07	$ 7.21	$ 24,500.00
Total for 2nd Circuit		**$ 461,164.00**	**$ 14,520.00**	**$ 629,575.69**	**$ 192,041.15**	**$ 821,616.84**	**$ 1,297,300.84**	**198,707**	**$ 2.39**	**$ 6.53**	**$ 111,255.00**
3	Clarendon	$ 122,000.00	$ -	$ 110,800.78	$ 33,797.86	$ 144,598.64	$ 266,598.64	34,971	$ 3.49	$ 7.62	$ -
3	Lee	$ 40,000.00	$ -	$ 60,895.92	$ 18,575.24	$ 79,471.16	$ 119,471.16	19,220	$ 2.08	$ 6.22	$ -
3	Sumter	$ 225,000.00	$ 27,500.00	$ 340,459.49	$ 103,851.27	$ 444,310.76	$ 696,810.76	107,456	$ 2.35	$ 6.48	$ -
3	Williamsburg	$ 45,256.00	$ -	$ 109,064.52	$ 33,268.24	$ 142,332.76	$ 187,588.76	34,423	$ 1.31	$ 5.45	$ -
Total for 3rd Circuit		**$ 432,256.00**	**$ 27,500.00**	**$ 621,220.71**	**$ 189,492.61**	**$ 810,713.33**	**$ 1,270,469.33**	**196,070**	**$ 2.34**	**$ 6.48**	**$ -**
4	Chesterfield	$ 136,500.00	$ -	$ 148,070.22	$ 45,166.26	$ 193,236.48	$ 329,736.48	46,734	$ 2.92	$ 7.06	$ 18,000.00
4	Darlington	$ 145,000.00	$ -	$ 217,606.26	$ 66,377.02	$ 283,983.28	$ 428,983.28	68,681	$ 2.11	$ 6.25	$ 5,000.00
4	Dillon	$ 54,000.00	$ 1,000.00	$ 101,584.02	$ 30,986.44	$ 132,570.46	$ 187,570.46	32,062	$ 1.72	$ 5.85	$ -
4	Marlboro	$ 56,290.00	$ 12,000.00	$ 91,670.21	$ 27,962.41	$ 119,632.62	$ 187,922.62	28,933	$ 2.36	$ 6.50	$ 4,000.00
Total for 4th Circuit		**$ 391,790.00**	**$ 13,000.00**	**$ 558,930.72**	**$ 170,492.13**	**$ 729,422.85**	**$ 1,134,212.85**	**176,410**	**$ 2.29**	**$ 6.43**	**$ 27,000.00**
5	Kershaw	$ 200,000.00	$ 5,000.00	$ 195,478.42	$ 59,627.30	$ 255,105.73	$ 460,105.73	61,697	$ 3.32	$ 7.46	$ -
5	Richland	$ 2,400,448.00	$ 100,000.00	$ 1,218,247.82	$ 371,605.38	$ 1,589,853.20	$ 4,090,301.20	384,504	$ 6.50	$ 10.64	$ -
Total for 5th Circuit		**$ 2,600,448.00**	**$ 105,000.00**	**$ 1,413,726.24**	**$ 431,232.69**	**$ 1,844,958.93**	**$ 4,550,406.93**	**446,201**	**$ 6.06**	**$ 10.20**	**$ -**
6	Chester	$ 110,828.00	$ 9,600.00	$ 104,999.51	$ 32,028.28	$ 137,027.79	$ 257,455.79	33,140	$ 3.63	$ 7.77	$ -
6	Fairfield	$ 95,204.00	$ 6,000.00	$ 75,901.28	$ 23,152.37	$ 99,053.65	$ 200,257.65	23,956	$ 4.22	$ 8.36	$ -
6	Lancaster	$ 314,815.00	$ 6,000.00	$ 242,861.28	$ 74,080.62	$ 316,941.90	$ 637,756.90	76,652	$ 4.19	$ 8.32	$ -
Total for 6th Circuit		**$ 520,847.00**	**$ 21,600.00**	**$ 423,762.07**	**$ 129,261.27**	**$ 553,023.34**	**$ 1,095,470.34**	**133,748**	**$ 4.06**	**$ 8.19**	**$ -**
7	Cherokee	$ 140,000.00	$ 12,000.00	$ 175,343.48	$ 53,485.49	$ 228,828.97	$ 380,828.97	55,342	$ 2.75	$ 6.88	$ -
7	Spartanburg	$ 1,116,169.00	$ -	$ 900,787.46	$ 274,769.60	$ 1,175,557.07	$ 2,291,726.07	284,307	$ 3.93	$ 8.06	$ 118,134.00
Total for 7th Circuit		**$ 1,256,169.00**	**$ 12,000.00**	**$ 1,076,130.95**	**$ 328,255.09**	**$ 1,404,386.04**	**$ 2,672,555.04**	**339,649**	**$ 3.73**	**$ 7.87**	**$ 118,134.00**
8	Abbeville	$ 26,790.00	$ -	$ 80,530.25	$ 24,564.36	$ 105,094.61	$ 131,884.61	25,417	$ 1.05	$ 5.19	$ -
8	Greenwood	$ 149,000.00	$ -	$ 220,711.26	$ 67,324.14	$ 288,035.40	$ 437,035.40	69,661	$ 2.14	$ 6.27	$ 24,000.00
8	Laurens	$ 86,000.00	$ -	$ 210,813.29	$ 64,304.94	$ 275,118.24	$ 361,118.24	66,537	$ 1.29	$ 5.43	$ 24,000.00
8	Newberry	$ 70,475.00	$ -	$ 118,838.92	$ 36,249.75	$ 155,088.67	$ 225,563.67	37,508	$ 1.88	$ 6.01	$ -

*Total Funding **excludes** County In Kind Contributions

Circuit	County	County Appropriated Funding	Municipal Govt. Contributions and Grants	State Appropriated Funds	Fees and Fines	Total State Funding	Total Funding*	County Population 2010 Census	Population vs. County Contribution	Population vs. State, County, Municipal, and Grant Contribution	County In Kind Contributions
Total for 8th Circuit		**$ 332,265.00**	**$ -**	**$ 630,893.72**	**$ 192,443.20**	**$ 823,336.92**	**$ 1,155,601.92**	**199,123**	**$ 1.67**	**$ 5.80**	**$ 48,000.00**
9	Berkeley	$ 504,007.00	$ -	$ 563,470.98	$ 171,877.06	$ 735,348.04	$ 1,239,355.04	177,843	$ 2.83	$ 6.97	$ -
9	Charleston	$ 3,379,200.00	$ 142,889.00	$ 1,109,588.85	$ 338,460.85	$ 1,448,049.69	$ 4,970,138.69	350,209	$ 10.06	$ 14.19	$ -
Total for 9th Circuit		**$ 3,883,207.00**	**$ 142,889.00**	**$ 1,673,059.83**	**$ 510,337.90**	**$ 2,183,397.73**	**$ 6,209,493.73**	**528,052**	**$ 7.62**	**$ 11.76**	**$ -**
10	Anderson	$ 338,775.00	$ 49,678.00	$ 592,882.89	$ 180,848.65	$ 773,731.53	$ 1,162,184.53	187,126	$ 2.08	$ 6.21	$ -
10	Oconee	$ 200,000.00	$ 5,338.00	$ 235,323.74	$ 71,781.43	$ 307,105.17	$ 512,443.17	74,273	$ 2.76	$ 6.90	$ -
Total for 10th Circuit		**$ 538,775.00**	**$ 55,016.00**	**$ 828,206.63**	**$ 252,630.08**	**$ 1,080,836.71**	**$ 1,674,627.71**	**261,399**	**$ 2.27**	**$ 6.41**	**$ -**
11	Edgefield	$ 30,400.00	$ -	$ 85,498.25	$ 26,079.76	$ 111,578.00	$ 141,978.00	26,985	$ 1.13	$ 5.26	$ 2,400.00
11	Lexington	$ 543,932.00	$ -	$ 831,349.64	$ 253,588.80	$ 1,084,938.44	$ 1,628,870.44	262,391	$ 2.07	$ 6.21	$ -
11	McCormick	$ 23,400.00	$ -	$ 32,421.85	$ 9,889.72	$ 42,311.57	$ 65,711.57	10,233	$ 2.29	$ 6.42	$ 2,400.00
11	Saluda	$ 17,400.00	$ -	$ 62,971.19	$ 19,208.27	$ 82,179.46	$ 99,579.46	19,875	$ 0.88	$ 5.01	$ 2,400.00
Total for 11th Circuit		**$ 615,132.00**	**$ -**	**$ 1,012,240.93**	**$ 308,766.55**	**$ 1,321,007.48**	**$ 1,936,139.48**	**319,484**	**$ 1.93**	**$ 6.06**	**$ 7,200.00**
12	Florence	$ 795,441.00	$ 3,600.00	$ 433,701.22	$ 132,293.04	$ 565,994.26	$ 1,365,035.26	136,885	$ 5.84	$ 9.97	$ -
12	Marion	$ 65,296.00	$ -	$ 104,752.38	$ 31,952.90	$ 136,705.28	$ 202,001.28	33,062	$ 1.97	$ 6.11	$ -
Total for 12th Circuit		**$ 860,737.00**	**$ 3,600.00**	**$ 538,453.60**	**$ 164,245.94**	**$ 702,699.53**	**$ 1,567,036.53**	**169,947**	**$ 5.09**	**$ 9.22**	**$ -**
13	Greenville	$ 732,825.00	$ -	$ 1,429,644.09	$ 436,088.15	$ 1,865,732.24	$ 2,598,557.24	451,225	$ 1.62	$ 5.76	$ -
13	Pickens	$ 284,069.00	$ 40,000.00	$ 377,744.78	$ 115,224.50	$ 492,969.27	$ 817,038.27	119,224	$ 2.72	$ 6.85	$ -
Total for 13th Circuit		**$ 1,016,894.00**	**$ 40,000.00**	**$ 1,807,388.87**	**$ 551,312.65**	**$ 2,358,701.52**	**$ 3,415,595.52**	**570,449**	**$ 1.85**	**$ 5.99**	**$ -**
14	Allendale	$ 20,000.00	$ -	$ 33,011.16	$ 10,069.48	$ 43,080.65	$ 63,080.65	10,419	$ 1.92	$ 6.05	$ -
14	Beaufort	$ 696,393.00	$ -	$ 514,012.85	$ 156,790.71	$ 670,803.57	$ 1,367,196.57	162,233	$ 4.29	$ 8.43	$ 20,000.00
14	Colleton	$ 239,901.00	$ -	$ 123,223.93	$ 37,587.32	$ 160,811.25	$ 400,712.25	38,892	$ 6.17	$ 10.30	$ 5,000.00
14	Hampton	$ 47,500.00	$ -	$ 66,820.75	$ 20,382.51	$ 87,203.26	$ 134,703.26	21,090	$ 2.25	$ 6.39	$ 6,500.00
14	Jasper	$ 124,000.00	$ -	$ 78,502.50	$ 23,945.83	$ 102,448.33	$ 226,448.33	24,777	$ 5.00	$ 9.14	$ 5,000.00
Total for 14th Circuit		**$ 1,127,794.00**	**$ -**	**$ 815,571.20**	**$ 248,775.86**	**$ 1,064,347.06**	**$ 2,192,141.06**	**257,411**	**$ 4.38**	**$ 8.52**	**$ 36,500.00**
15	Georgetown	$ 156,000.00	$ -	$ 190,602.31	$ 58,139.93	$ 248,742.25	$ 404,742.25	60,158	$ 2.59	$ 6.73	$ 30,000.00
15	Horry	$ 1,172,214.00	$ -	$ 853,211.34	$ 260,257.33	$ 1,113,468.67	$ 2,285,682.67	269,291	$ 4.35	$ 8.49	$ 80,000.00
Total for 15th Circuit		**$ 1,328,214.00**	**$ -**	**$ 1,043,813.65**	**$ 318,397.26**	**$ 1,362,210.92**	**$ 2,690,424.92**	**329,449**	**$ 4.03**	**$ 8.17**	**$ 110,000.00**
16	Union	$ 100,822.00	$ -	$ 91,758.93	$ 27,989.47	$ 119,748.40	$ 220,570.40	28,961	$ 3.48	$ 7.62	$ 10,000.00
16	York	$ 1,369,721.00	$ 125,626.00	$ 716,281.08	$ 218,489.13	$ 934,770.20	$ 2,430,117.20	226,073	$ 6.61	$ 10.75	$ 20,000.00
Total for 16th Circuit		**$ 1,470,543.00**	**$ 125,626.00**	**$ 808,040.00**	**$ 246,478.60**	**$ 1,054,518.60**	**$ 2,650,687.60**	**255,034**	**$ 6.26**	**$ 10.39**	**$ 30,000.00**
	Grand Total	**$ 18,228,627.00**	**$ 622,751.00**	**$ 14,654,827.00**	**$ 4,470,200.98**	**$ 19,125,027.98**	**$ 37,976,405.98**	**4,625,364**	**$ 4.08**	**$ 8.21**	**$ 519,481.00**

*Total Funding **excludes** County In Kind Contributions

Audit of Funds

Circuit	County	County Conducts Y/N	Explain	Other Entity Conducts Y/N	How Often Audit is Performed
1	Calhoun	N		Y	As need and required records provided annually
1	Dorchester	Y	County does an annual audit of all county funds and expenditures at the first of each calendar year.	Y	As need and required records provided annually the firm we use for all circuit business
1	Orangeburg	N	Requires audits be provided annually by agencies funded by the county	Y	Quarterly with an annual audit reconciliation
2	Aiken	N	A private company has conducted annual audits. A copy of the audit is provided to the county administrator.	Y	Annually
2	Bamberg	N	We contract with a private cpa firm to perform annual audits and a copy of the audit report is sent to the county administrator.	Y	Annually
2	Barnwell	N	We contract with an accounting firm to perform annual audits. a copy of audit report is sent to the county administrator	Y	Annually
3	Clarendon	Y	Annual funding audit by sumter county- they conduct an annual audit on funds turned over to them for operation/administration of all counties in the third circuit. any remaining/existing money in our accounts not forwarded to the county are included in a separate independent accountant's report- using "agreed upon procedures".	Y	Annually

Audit of Funds

Circuit	County	County Conducts Y/N	Explain	Other Entity Conducts Y/N	How Often Audit is Performed
3	Lee	Y	Annual funding audit by sumter county- they conduct an annual audit on funds turned over to them for operation/administration of all counties in the third circuit. any remaining/existing money in our accounts not forwarded to the county are included in a separate independent accountant's report- using "agreed upon procedures".	Y	Annually
3	Sumter	Y	Annual funding audit by sumter county- they conduct an annual audit on funds turned over to them for operation/administration of all counties in the third circuit. any remaining/existing money in our accounts not forwarded to the county are included in a separate independent accountant's report- using "agreed upon procedures".	Y	Annually
3	Williamsburg	Y	Annual funding audit by sumter county- they conduct an annual audit on funds turned over to them for operation/administration of all counties in the third circuit. any remaining/existing money in our accounts not forwarded to the county are included in a separate independent accountant's report- using "agreed upon procedures".	Y	Annually
4	Chesterfield	Y	There is an escrow account that is not covered by the county audit.	N	Annually
4	Darlington	N	Chesterfield county conducts audit, but there is an escrow account that is not covered by the county audit.	Y	Annually

Audit of Funds

Circuit	County	County Conducts Y/N	Explain	Other Entity Conducts Y/N	How Often Audit is Performed
4	Dillon	N	Chesterfield county conducts audit, but there is an escrow account that is not covered by the county audit.	Y	Annually
4	Marlboro	N	Chesterfield county conducts audit, but there is an escrow account that is not covered by the county audit.	Y	Annually
5	Kershaw	N	Independent audit done annually for all accounts	Y	Annually
5	Richland	N	Independent audit done annually for all accounts	Y	Annually
6	Chester	Y	Chester county audit covers all payroll, cpa analysis is done on all remaining funds.	Y	Annually
6	Fairfield	N	Chester county audit covers all payroll, cpa analysis is done on all remaining funds.	Y	Annually
6	Lancaster	N	Chester county audit covers all payroll, cpa analysis is done on all remaining funds.	Y	Annually
7	Cherokee	N	Every quarter, i provide the administering county's budget department copies of all bank statements.	N	
7	Spartanburg	N	Every quarter, I provide the county budget office copies of all bank statements for my office.	N	
8	Abbeville	N	Our county does not conduct an audit, but we use a professional accounting firm to monitor our record-keeping.	N	I am in discussions with our accounting firm to begin conducting a yearly audit for fy17-18
8	Greenwood	N	Our county does not conduct an audit, but we use a professional accounting firm to monitor our record-keeping.	N	I am in discussions with our accounting firm to begin conducting a yearly audit for fy17-18.

Audit of Funds

Circuit	County	County Conducts Y/N	Explain	Other Entity Conducts Y/N	How Often Audit is Performed
8	Laurens	N	Our county does not conduct an audit, but we use a professional accounting firm to monitor our record-keeping.	N	I am in discussions with our accounting firm to begin conducting a yearly audit for fy17-18.
8	Newberry	N	Our county does not conduct an audit, but we use a professional accounting firm to monitor our record-keeping.	N	I am in discussions with our accounting firm to begin conducting a yearly audit for fy17-18.
9	Berkeley	N	The charleston county internal auditor periodically conducts a thorough audit of all the state, county, and miscellaneous funds that the berkeley pd office receives as well as their expenses. all of berkeley's revenue and expense accounts are monitored continuously by the charleston county finance, treasurer, and budget departments.	Y	Annually/periodically
9	Charleston	Y	The charleston county internal auditor periodically conducts a thorough audit of all the state, county, municipal/magistrate court funds, and client fees that we receive as well as our petty cash fund, all our expenses and our restitution account. all of our revenue and expense accounts are monitored by the charleston county finance, treasurer, and budget departments.	N	Annually/periodically
10	Anderson	Y	State, county and municipal funds are forwarded to anderson county finance office to administer.	N	Annually
10	Oconee	N	Anderson county handles funds and provides auditing	Y	Annually
11	Edgefield	N	Lexington county audits all funds and accounts.	Y	Annually

Audit of Funds

Circuit	County	County Conducts Y/N	Explain	Other Entity Conducts Y/N	How Often Audit is Performed
11	Lexington	Y	Lexington county audits all funds and accounts.	N	Annually
11	McCormick	N	Lexington county audits all funds and accounts.	Y	Annually
11	Saluda	N	Lexington county audits all funds and accounts.	Y	Annually
12	Florence	Y	Florence county audits the county funding on an annual basis. There is an outside CPA that conducts an analysis on all other accounts.	Y	Annually
12	Marion	Y	Marion county conducts an annual audit of all marion county funds. There is an outside CPA that conducts an analysis on all other accounts.	Y	Annually
13	Greenville	Y	Greenville county auditor audits all funds which are processed as the administering county for the 13th circuit	N	Annually
13	Pickens	Y	Pickens county auditor audits all of the county funds. State funds are audited by Greenville county.	Y	Annually
14	Allendale	N	Beaufort county conducts an audit of all public defender funds for the 14th circuit.	Y	Annually
14	Beaufort	Y	Beaufort county conducts an audit of all public defender funds for the 14th circuit.	N	Annually
14	Colleton	N	Beaufort county conducts an audit of all public defender funds for the 14th circuit.	Y	Annually
14	Hampton	N	Beaufort county conducts an audit of all public defender funds for the 14th circuit.	Y	Annually

Audit of Funds

Circuit	County	County Conducts Y/N	Explain	Other Entity Conducts Y/N	How Often Audit is Performed
14	Jasper	N	Beaufort county conducts an audit of all public defender funds for the 14th circuit.	Y	Annually
15	Georgetown	Y	Georgetown audit is conducted by the county.	N	Annually
15	Horry	Y	Horry audit is conducted by the county.	N	Annually
16	Union	N	York county has an internal auditor, garth sawyer is controller. york county also has outside auditor as well.	Y	Annually
16	York	Y	York county has an internal auditor, garth sawyer is controller. york county also has outside auditor as well.	N	Annually

PD Receipt or Collection of Funds
Including Cash or Checks

Circuit	County	Collecting/Receiving Funds of Cash Check or Credit Y/N	Nature of Funds Collected and Disposition of Funds	Maintains One or More Bank Accounts Y/N
1	Calhoun	N		Y
1	Dorchester	Y	Application fees only	Y
1	Orangeburg	N		Y
2	Aiken	Y	Application fees that are sent monthly to the state treasurer	Y
2	Bamberg	N		N
2	Barnwell	N		N
3	Clarendon	N		N
3	Lee	N		N
3	Sumter	Y	$40 public defender application fees- forwarded to columbia through sumter co treasurer	Y
3	Williamsburg	N		N
4	Chesterfield	Y	We collect $40.00 application fee	Y
4	Darlington	Y	We collect the $40.00 application fees	Y
4	Dillon	Y	We collect the $40.00 application fees	N
4	Marlboro	Y	We collect the $40.00 application fees	N
5	Kershaw	N		Y
5	Richland	Y	Payments from academic institutions to be used to pay salaries of students employed as independent contractors.	Y
6	Chester	N		N
6	Fairfield	N		N
6	Lancaster	N		Y
7	Cherokee	N	Receive funds from cherokee county, city of gaffney, and the funds from commission on indigent defense. these funds are deposited in my cherokee county account, which is then given to spartanburg county to cover the expenses of the office.	Y
7	Spartanburg	N	Receive funds from the commission on indigent defense. the funds are held in a bank account and distributed to spartanburg county to pay for expenses as budgeted. also funds are used for some office expenses that are paid directly by the office.	Y

PD Receipt or Collection of Funds
Including Cash or Checks

Circuit	County	Collecting/Receiving Funds of Cash Check or Credit Y/N	Nature of Funds Collected and Disposition of Funds	Maintains One or More Bank Accounts Y/N
8	Abbeville	Y	We receive bi-yearly payments from the county and deposit those funds in our operating account. we also receive periodic payments from the municipalities with which we've contracted and also deposit those funds in our operating account.	N
8	Greenwood	Y	We receive quarterly payments from greenwood county and periodic payments from ninety-six municipal court. those funds are deposited into our operating account.	Y
8	Laurens	Y	We receive quarterly payments from laurens county and periodic payments from city courts. those funds are deposited into our operating account.	N
8	Newberry	N	The appropriated funds are paid directly to charles verner in the form of his salary.	N
9	Berkeley	Y	They collect the juvenile application fees and then send them to the clerk of court.	N
9	Charleston	Y	This office receives/collects cash and money orders from clients paying their state application fees, their restitution payments or fees/fines to the various courts, etc.	Y
10	Anderson	N		Y
10	Oconee	N		N
11	Edgefield	N		N
11	Lexington	N		Y
11	McCormick	N		N
11	Saluda	N		N
12	Florence	N	We receive checks from municipal court for representation and the solicitor's office for drug court. the checks are deposited in our bank account. our office does not receive any cash.	Y
12	Marion	N		Y
13	Greenville	Y	Court ordered funds	Y
13	Pickens	Y	Court ordered funds	Y
14	Allendale	N		N

PD Receipt or Collection of Funds
Including Cash or Checks

Circuit	County	Collecting/Receiving Funds of Cash Check or Credit Y/N	Nature of Funds Collected and Disposition of Funds	Maintains One or More Bank Accounts Y/N
14	Beaufort	N		N
14	Colleton	N		N
14	Hampton	N		N
14	Jasper	N		N
15	Georgetown	Y	$40.00 application fees. the funds are sent to sc state treasurer in columbia, sc.	N
15	Horry	N		Y
16	Union	N		N
16	York	N		N

Public Defender and Contract Public Defender Experience as of July 1, 2018

(This data includes the Circuit Public Defender)

Circuit	County	Less than 3 Years	3-5 Years	6-10 Years	11 or More Years
1	Calhoun	0	0	1	1
1	Dorchester	1	0	4	5
1	Orangeburg	2	0	1	5
Total for 1st Circuit		**3**	**0**	**6**	**11**
2	Aiken	3	0	4	2
2	Bamberg	0	0	1	0
2	Barnwell	0	0	0	1
Total for 2nd Circuit		**3**	**0**	**5**	**3**
3	Clarendon	0	0	1	1
3	Lee	1	0	0	0
3	Sumter	4	0	2	2
3	Williamsburg	0	0	1	0
Total for 3rd Circuit		**5**	**0**	**4**	**3**
4	Chesterfield	0	1	1	2
4	Darlington	0	1	1	0
4	Dillon	0	1	0	0
4	Marlboro	0	0	0	1
Total for 4th Circuit		**0**	**3**	**2**	**3**
5	Kershaw	2	0	2	0
5	Richland	10	12	8	3
Total for 5th Circuit		**12**	**12**	**10**	**3**
6	Chester	0	1	0	1
6	Fairfield	0	0	0	3
6	Lancaster	3	0	1	2
Total for 6th Circuit		**3**	**1**	**1**	**6**
7	Cherokee	2	0	1	1
7	Spartanburg	4	4	4	10
Total for 7th Circuit		**6**	**4**	**5**	**11**

Public Defender and Contract Public Defender Experience as of July 1, 2018

(This data includes the Circuit Public Defender)

Circuit	County	Less than 3 Years	3-5 Years	6-10 Years	11 or More Years
8	Abbeville	0	0	0	0
8	Greenwood	1	2	2	2
8	Laurens	1	1	2	0
8	Newberry	0	0	0	1
Total for 8th Circuit		**2**	**3**	**4**	**3**
9	Berkeley	2	3	1	3
9	Charleston	11	2	6	12
Total for 9th Circuit		**13**	**5**	**7**	**15**
10	Anderson	8	1	0	2
10	Oconee	2	1	0	2
Total for 10th Circuit		**10**	**2**	**0**	**4**
11	Edgefield	0	0	1	0
11	Lexington	4	2	4	4
11	McCormick	0	0	0	0
11	Saluda	0	0	1	0
Total for 11th Circuit		**4**	**2**	**6**	**4**
12	Florence	1	1	3	6
12	Marion	1	0	0	1
Total for 12th Circuit		**2**	**1**	**3**	**7**
13	Greenville	1	5	7	9
13	Pickens	2	0	0	3
Total for 13th Circuit		**3**	**5**	**7**	**12**
14	Allendale	0	0	0	1
14	Beaufort	1	0	2	8
14	Colleton	0	0	1	2
14	Hampton	0	0	0	1
14	Jasper	0	0	0	1
Total for 14th Circuit		**1**	**0**	**3**	**13**

Public Defender and Contract Public Defender Experience as of July 1, 2018

(This data includes the Circuit Public Defender)

Circuit	County	Less than 3 Years	3-5 Years	6-10 Years	11 or More Years
15	Georgetown	1	1	1	1
15	Horry	2	1	4	7
Total for 15th Circuit		**3**	**2**	**5**	**8**
16	Union	0	1	0	1
16	York	4	2	2	8
Total for 16th Circuit		**4**	**3**	**2**	**9**
Grand Total		**74**	**43**	**70**	**115**

Local Training and Mentoring Programs as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Skills/Professional Training	Mentoring Program
1	Calhoun	N	N
1	Dorchester	Y	Y
1	Orangeburg	Y	Y
2	Aiken	Y	Y
2	Bamberg	Y	Y
2	Barnwell	Y	Y
3	Clarendon	N	N
3	Lee	N	N
3	Sumter	N	N
3	Williamsburg	N	N
4	Chesterfield	N	N
4	Darlington	N	N
4	Dillon	N	N
4	Marlboro	N	N
5	Kershaw	Y	N
5	Richland	Y	N
6	Chester	N	N
6	Fairfield	N	N
6	Lancaster	N	N
7	Cherokee	N	N
7	Spartanburg	N	N
8	Abbeville	Y	N
8	Greenwood	Y	N
8	Laurens	Y	N
8	Newberry	Y	N
9	Berkeley	Y	Y
9	Charleston	Y	Y
10	Anderson	Y	N
10	Oconee	Y	N
11	Edgefield	N	Y
11	Lexington	Y	Y
11	McCormick	N	Y
11	Saluda	N	N

Local Training and Mentoring Programs as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Skills/Professional Training	Mentoring Program
12	Florence	N	N
12	Marion	N	N
13	Greenville	Y	Y
13	Pickens	Y	Y
14	Allendale	Y	Y
14	Beaufort	Y	Y
14	Colleton	Y	Y
14	Hampton	Y	Y
14	Jasper	Y	Y
15	Georgetown	Y	Y
15	Horry	Y	Y
16	Union	N	N
16	York	N	N

Grant Amounts as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
1	Calhoun	$0	$0	$0	$0
1	Dorchester	$0	$0	$1,500	$0
1	Orangeburg	$0	$0	$1,500	$0
Total for 1st Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$3,000**	**$0**
2	Aiken	$0	$0	$0	$0
2	Bamberg	$0	$0	$0	$0
2	Barnwell	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 2nd Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
3	Clarendon	$0	$0	$0	$0
3	Lee	$0	$0	$0	$0
3	Sumter	$0	$0	$0	$0
3	Williamsburg	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 3rd Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
4	Chesterfield	$0	$0	$0	$0
4	Darlington	$0	$0	$0	$0
4	Dillon	$0	$0	$0	$0
4	Marlboro	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 4th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
5	Kershaw	$0	$0	$0	$0
5	Richland	$0	$0	$90,000	$0
Total for 5th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$90,000**	**$0**
6	Chester	$0	$0	$0	$0
6	Fairfield	$0	$0	$0	$0
6	Lancaster	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 6th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
7	Cherokee	$0	$0	$0	$0
7	Spartanburg	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 7th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Circuit	County	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
8	Abbeville	$0	$0	$0	$0
8	Greenwood	$0	$0	$0	$0
8	Laurens	$0	$0	$0	$0
8	Newberry	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 8th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
9	Berkeley	$0	$0	$0	$0
9	Charleston	$0	$0	$142,889	$142,889
Total for 9th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$142,889**	**$142,889**
10	Anderson	$0	$0	$0	$0
10	Oconee	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 10th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
11	Edgefield	$0	$0	$0	$0
11	Lexington	$0	$0	$0	$0
11	McCormick	$0	$0	$0	$0
11	Saluda	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 11th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
12	Florence	$0	$0	$0	$0
12	Marion	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 12th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
13	Greenville	$0	$0	$0	$0
13	Pickens	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 13th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
14	Allendale	$0	$0	$0	$0
14	Beaufort	$0	$0	$0	$0
14	Colleton	$0	$0	$0	$0
14	Hampton	$0	$0	$0	$0
14	Jasper	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 14th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Circuit	County	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19
15	Georgetown	$0	$0	$0	$0
15	Horry	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 15th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
16	Union	$0	$0	$0	$0
16	York	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total for 16th Circuit		**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
Grand Total		**$0**	**$0**	**$235,889**	**$142,889**

Grant Descriptions as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Title, Purpose and Grantor
5	Richland	Social worker - doj - juvenile reentry. reentry attorney - doj - juvenile reentry
9	Charleston	The mac arthur grant was awarded to charleston county. it offered technical assistance to improve the accuracy of risk assessments in bond setting in order to avoid unnecessarily incarcerating persons due to their financial inability to pay a bond. a further grant application has been approved which funds 2 senior part-time and 2 new full-time public defender lawyers to advocate for defendants at the charleston county bond court. the 2 part-time senior grant attorneys were hired in october 2017 when the one full-time senior attorney was hired by the macarthur grant. the two full-time grant attorneys had been hired in january 2017.

Indigency Screening and Determination as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Who Receives Applications and Determines Indigency	Explain
1	Calhoun	Clerk of court	
1	Dorchester	Public defender	Criminal justice coordinator who works for the public defender but is charged with the screening process and visits the jail weekly to process people there as well.
1	Orangeburg	Clerk of court	
2	Aiken	Public Defender receives Applications and supporting documents and forward to Magistrate who makes determination	
2	Bamberg	Magistrate screens application then send approved applicants to Clerk of Court to pay $40 fee	
2	Barnwell	Clerk of Court	
3	Clarendon	Clerk of Court receives applications and forward them to Public Defender for screening	Clerk of court accepts applications for gs, magistrate accepts applications for mag level offenses and public defender office determines indigence.
3	Lee	Clerk of court accepts applications and public defender makes indigence determinations	Clerk of court accepts applications and public defender makes indigence determinations
3	Sumter	Clerk of Court accepts applications and public defender makes indigence determinations	**********general survey notes all circuit wide staff (3), as well as circuit pd and state aa are included on this survey (under their admin county). third circuit office is the only one to maintain bank account(s), so that was answered on this survey as well, even though the sumter county pd office does not maintain an individual account.
3	Williamsburg	Clerk of court accepts applications and public defender makes indigence determinations	Clerk of court receives the applications, public defender office makes indigence determinations.
4	Chesterfield	Magistrate screens applications at bond hearing. If miss bond hearing opportunity, Clerk of Court accepts and screens application	
4	Darlington	Magistrate screens applications at bond hearing. If miss bond hearing opportunity, Clerk of Court accepts and screens application	

Indigency Screening and Determination as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Who Receives Applications and Determines Indigency	Explain
4	Dillon	Magistrate screens applications at bond hearing: If miss bond hearing opportunity, Clerk of Court accepts and screens application	
4	Marlboro	Magistrate screens applications at bond hearing: If miss bond hearing opportunity, Clerk of Court accepts and screens application	
5	Kershaw	Magistrate screens applications at bond hearing: If miss bond hearing opportunity, Clerk of Court accepts and screens application	
5	Richland	Magistrate screens applications at bond hearing: If miss bond hearing opportunity, Clerk of Court accepts and screens application	Magistrates initially. clerk of court if not appointed by magistrate. clerk of court after referral from magistrate or city judge for summary court charges.
6	Chester	Clerk of court	
6	Fairfield	Clerk of court	
6	Lancaster	Public Defender	
7	Cherokee	Public defender	
7	Spartanburg	Public defender	
8	Abbeville	Clerk of court	
8	Greenwood	Clerk of court	In greenwood county, our jail applications are not sent to the clerk of court. our office picks those up and automatically assumes representation for anyone who has applied from the jail. otherwise, applications go through the clerk's office.
8	Laurens	Clerk of court	
8	Newberry	Public Defender	
9	Berkeley	Magistrate	The clerk of court receives the applications and makes the determinations for all adults defendants. the berkeley public defender's office receives the indigency applications and makes the determinations for the juveniles, subject to review by the family court.

Indigency Screening and Determination as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Who Receives Applications and Determines Indigency	Explain
9	Charleston	Magistrate screens at the jail and Public Defender screens walk-In applications	The determinations of indigency are made by the bond court judge based on screening by pretrial screeners employed by charleston county. those who are screened later by public defender screeners are reviewed by the court.
10	Anderson	The Clerk of Court screens however if person is in jail there is a presumption of Indigency and the Public Defender will visit the jail to accept the applications and forward them to the Clerk of Court	People who are incarcerated are interviewed by public defender staff. the public defender jail intake coordinator receives applications from incarcerated people who indicate that they desire appointment. all people who are incarcerated are deemed indigent by their circumstance and are appointed counsel if requested. people who are on bond apply for and are screened for public defender services by the clerk of court. there are infrequent appointments by judges.
10	Oconee	The Clerk of Court screens however if person is in jail there is a presumption of Indigency and the Public Defender will visit the jail to accept the applications and forward them to the Clerk of Court	By administrative order, there is a presumption of indigency for persons who are incarcerated. people who are on bond are screened by the clerk of court.
11	Edgefield	Magistrate screens at the jail and forward applications to Clerk of Court	
11	Lexington	Clerk of Court	
11	McCormick	Magistrate screens in jail and Clerk of Court screens out of jail people	
11	Saluda	Magistrate	
12	Florence	Magistrate screens at bond hearing and if miss bond hearing opportunity, then they go to the Clerk of Court	
12	Marion	Magistrate screens at bond hearing and if miss bond hearing opportunity, then they go to the Clerk of Court	
		other	
13	Greenville	County's Office of Indigent Defense screens	
13	Pickens	Clerk of court for out of jail people and the Greenville Indigent Defense office screens jail people	

Indigency Screening and Determination as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Who Receives Applications and Determines Indigency	Explain
14	Allendale	Magistrate screens at bond court and if missed bond hearing opportunity then they go to Clerk of court	
14	Beaufort	Magistrate screens at bond court and if missed bond hearing opportunity then they go to Clerk of court	
14	Colleton	Magistrate screens at bond court and if missed bond hearing opportunity then they go to Clerk of court	
14	Hampton	Magistrate screens at bond court and if missed bond hearing opportunity then they go to Clerk of court	
14	Jasper	Magistrate screens at bond court and if missed bond hearing opportunity then they go to Clerk of court	
15	Georgetown	Public defender	
15	Horry	Clerk of Court	
16	Union	Magistrate screens at bond court and if missed bond hearing opportunity then they go to Clerk of court	
16	York	Magistrate screens at bond court and if missed bond hearing opportunity then they go to Clerk of court	

Handling of Juvenile Cases as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Does this county office have one or more public defenders principally handling juvenile cases? Y/N	If not, do you have one or more public defenders within the circuit principally handling juvenile cases?
1	Calhoun	Y	N
1	Dorchester	Y	
1	Orangeburg	Y	
2	Aiken	Y	
2	Bamberg	N	Y
2	Barnwell	Y	
3	Clarendon	Y	N
3	Lee	N	N
3	Sumter	Y	Y
3	Williamsburg	N	N
4	Chesterfield	Y	
4	Darlington	Y	
4	Dillon	Y	
4	Marlboro	Y	
5	Kershaw	N	
5	Richland	Y	
6	Chester	Y	Y
6	Fairfield	N	Y
6	Lancaster	N	Y
7	Cherokee	Y	N
7	Spartanburg	Y	
8	Abbeville	N	N
8	Greenwood	Y	N
8	Laurens	N	Y
8	Newberry	N	Y
9	Berkeley	Y	
9	Charleston	Y	
10	Anderson	Y	
10	Oconee	Y	N
11	Edgefield	N	Y
11	Lexington	Y	
11	McCormick	N	Y
11	Saluda	N	Y
12	Florence	Y	
12	Marion	Y	
13	Greenville	Y	
13	Pickens	Y	

Handling of Juvenile Cases as of July 1, 2018

Circuit	County	Does this county office have one or more public defenders principally handling juvenile cases? Y/N	If not, do you have one or more public defenders within the circuit principally handling juvenile cases?
14	Allendale	N	Y
14	Beaufort	Y	Y
14	Colleton	N	Y
14	Hampton	N	Y
14	Jasper	N	Y
15	Georgetown	N	Y
15	Horry	Y	N
16	Union	N	N
16	York	Y	N